

**Amendments to the Claims**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

Claim 1 (previously presented): A method of communication between a first unit and a second unit via a telecommunications network, in which the first unit comprises applications belonging respectively to a first family and a second family having a priori a lower degree of confidence than the first family, the method comprising: forcing at least one request originating from an application of the second family, transmitted over the network to the second unit, to include a mark associated with the second family of applications.

Claim 2 (previously presented): The method according to claim 1, wherein said mark is included in at least one request transmitted over the network and originating from an application of the second family.

Claim 3 (previously presented): The method according to claim 1, wherein the mark, included in a request transmitted over the network and originating from an application of the second family, is forced to include an indication of the nature and/or origin of the said application of the second family.

Claim 4 (previously presented): The method according to claim 3, wherein said application of the second family being signed, the mark included in the requests that originated therefrom is forced to include data relating to the certification of the signature.

Claim 5 (previously presented): The method according to claim 3, wherein the said application of the second family having been downloaded via the network from a download address, the mark included in the requests that originated therefrom is forced to include data relating to the download address of the application.

Claim 6 (previously presented): A method of communication between a first unit and a

In re Application of De Boursetty et al.  
Application No. 10/539,205  
Response to Office Action of August 28, 2007

second unit via a telecommunications network, in which the first unit comprises applications belonging respectively to a first family and to a second family having a priori a lower degree of confidence than the first family, the method comprising: forcing at least one request originating from an application of the second family, transmitted over the network to the second unit, to exclude a mark associated with the first family, the said mark being included in at least some of the requests transmitted over the network and originating from applications of the first family.

**Claim 7 (previously presented):** The method according to claim 6 wherein the second unit examines whether the mark is present in a request received over the network from the first unit, to assess a degree of confidence to be attached to the said request.

**Claim 8 (previously presented):** The method according the claim 7, wherein, when the mark is present the said request, the second unit also examines data included in this mark, to assess a degree of confidence to be attached to said request.

**Claim 9 (previously presented):** The method according to claim 8, wherein said data examined by the second unit comprises data relating to the certification of a signature of the application from which the request originated.

**Claim 10 (previously presented):** The method according to claim 8, wherein said data examined by the second unit comprise data relating to a download address of the application from which the request originated.

**Claim 11 (previously presented):** The method according to claim 6, wherein the requests comprise HTTP requests, and the mark is inserted in the headers of the HTTP requests.

**Claim 12 (previously presented):** The method according to any one of the preceding claim 6, in which the requirement relating to the mark is controlled by a software layer belonging to a virtual machine with which the first unit is provided, the applications of the second family

In re Application of De Boursetty et al.  
Application No. 10/539,205  
Response to Office Action of August 28, 2007

being able to access the network only via the virtual machine and the said software layer.

Claim 13 (previously presented): The method according to claim 12, wherein the virtual machine is a Java virtual machine.

Claim 14 (previously presented): A communication terminal, comprising means for communicating with a second unit via telecommunications network, the communication terminal further comprising applications belonging respectively to a first family and a second family having a priori a lower degree of confidence than the first family, wherein the means for communicating are adapted to force at least one request originating from an application of the second family, transmitted over the network to the second unit, to include a mark associated with the second family of applications.

Claim 15 (previously presented): A communication terminal, comprising means for communicating with a second unit via a telecommunications network, the communication terminal further comprising applications belonging respectively to a first family and a second family having a priori a lower degree of confidence than the first family, wherein the means for communicating are adapted to force at least one request originating from an application of the second family, transmitted over the network to the second unit, to exclude a mark associated with the first family, the said mark being included in at least some of the requests transmitted over the network and originating from applications of the first family.

Claim 16 (previously presented): The method according to claim 1, wherein each request originating from an application of the second family, transmitted over the network to the second unit, is forced to include a mark associated with the second family of applications.

Claim 17 (previously presented): The method according to claim 6, wherein each request originating from an application of the second family, transmitted over the network to the second unit, is forced to exclude a mark associated with the first family.